2:12-cv-14770-PJD-MINTPCOVERISTING Pg 1 of 7 Pg ID 1 Washtenaw Washtenaw

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except a provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS							
FENF, LLC			SMARTTHINGSZ, INC.								
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Washtenaw County, MI (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Westchester County, NY (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Don Darnell P55268 7926 Ann Arbor St., Dexter, Michigan 48130 734-424-5200/ dondarnell@darnell-law.com				Attorneys (If Kno	rwn)						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	TOTTOTT	' in One Box Only)	III. CI	L FIZENSHIP OF	PRI	NCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in	One Box fo	or Plaintiff	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	▼ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases On		DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	and One Box on and One Box of an analysis			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and P. of Business In A		□ 5	X 5	
			1	n or Subject of a eign Country	☐ 3	☐ 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT											
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Base Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	AY 62 7 69 all 71 72 74 75 79 79 79 46 46 46	ILABOR O Cother LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Mgmt. Relation Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION New York Standards Act O Actions O Cother Labor Litigation Timple Ret. Inc. Security Act O Cother Labor Litigation Actions	ans C	422 Appe 423 With 28 U PROPK 820 Copy 830 Paten 840 Trade 861 HIA 862 Black 863 DIW 864 SSID 865 RSI (FEDER/ 870 Taxes or De 871 IRS—	RTY RIGHTS rights t temark L SECURITY (1395ff) t Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	375 False	Reapportion ust and Bankinerce tatation steer Influe pt Organization reapport (/Sat TV tities/Commange Statutory / ultural Act commental N com of Information nistrative P eview or A cy Decision	t modities/ Actions s Autters rmation	
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 Ren	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	ened an	other d		☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	ict			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	35 USC 281	ntute under which you ar	re filing (1	Do not cite jurisdictiona	al statute	es unless d	iversity):				
VII CIRODE OF TROTE	Brief description of ca Patent Infringment of										
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IS A CLASS ACTION	V DI	EMAND \$ 1,750,0)))		HECK YES only i	if demanded in	n complai □No	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCKE	T NUMBER				
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD										
October 25, 2012			\Rightarrow	Z/1/1	7						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY											
RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	E		MAG. JUI	OGE			

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11 Filed 10/26/12 Pg 2 of 7 Pg ID 2

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes
If yes, giv	re the following information:	X No
Court:	·	
Case No.:		
Judge:		
•		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	☐ Yes ☑ No
If yes, give	e the following information:	
Court:		
Case No.:		
Judge:		
Notes :		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN DETROIT

FenF, LLC, Plaintiff,

Case No.

Hon.

Mag.

-VS-

Jury Demand

SMARTTHINGZ, INC. Defendant.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGMENT

Now comes Plaintiff, FenF, LLC, by its counsel, Don Darnell, and for its complaint against Smartthingsz, Inc., states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff FenF, LLC (FenF) is a Michigan limited liability company with its principal place of business in Dexter, State of Michigan.
- 2. Defendant Smartthingz, Inc., d/b/a "SmartThingZ" is a New York corporation with its principal place of business in New York, State of New York.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has original jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 and 35 U.S.C. §281.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over SmartThingsZ. SmartThingsZ transacts business in Michigan, including but not limited to the sale of the accused product; SmartThingsZ has specifically directed its activities to Michigan; and acts of infringement have occurred in and beyond Michigan causing injury to FenF in Michigan.

- 5. The amount in controversy in this case exceeds \$75,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs.
- 6. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 29 U.S.C. § 1391(c) and 1400(b).

Common Allegations

- 7. FenF operates a successful product design, manufacturing, distributing and retail business that produces orthopedic health devices.
- 8. FenF has designed, manufactured and sold several different products over time to include a product called "YogaToes®."
- 9. Since its inception, FenF has continually analyzed the orthopedic needs of persons in order to create and sell innovative products.
- 10. FenF protects its investments in product research, development, and marketing with strong intellectual property safeguards like patents.
- Accordingly, Plaintiff has obtained a United States Patent for a "Foot-Therapy and Toe-Aligning Device" on August 23, 2011, United States Patent No. 8,002,675 ("the '675 Patent") duly and legally issued to Frederic Ferri, as inventor, for the aforementioned orthopedic device to stretch and exercise the toes and feet, and since that date all rights to the '675 Patent, including but not limited to, the right to recover for infringement there under, has been assigned to FenF, LLC. A copy of the '675 Patent as issued is attached hereto as Exhibit A
- 12. All claims of the '675 patent are valid and enforceable.
- 13. At all relevant times subsequent to issuance of the '675 patent, Frederic Ferri and FenF,

LLC, together, or individually, have practiced under the exclusive rights conferred by the '675 patent and/or the patent upon which the '675 is a Continuation in Part of Patent No. 7,322,915, which is a division of, Patent No. 7,131,939, all of which are property of the Plaintiff.

- 14. At all times relevant since at least June 9, 2011, Defendant has advertised, offered for sale, and sold a product called "SmartToes" on its website at www.smartthingsz.com and www.amazon.com.
- 15. Promotional materials associated with SmartToes include the website, www.smartthingsz, videos, demonstrations, and articles. These materials have been available to individuals nationwide, including those located in this District, on the Internet.
- 16. In addition to selling the SmartToes device on its own website, Amazon.com, Beautiful Planet, Inc., and several sellers on eBay sell the SmartToes device online.
- 17. A true and accurate digital still image of the SmartToes device is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

Count I (Patent Infringement)

- 18. Paragraphs 1-18 above, inclusive, are incorporated herein by reference.
- 19. SmartThingsZ have directly infringed the '675 patent, and continue to so infringe, by making, offering to sell, selling, or using within the United States, articles covered by one or more of the claims of FenF's '675 patent.

- 20. On information and belief, since at least August of 2011, SmartThingsZ were either aware of the '675 patent or was willfully blind in order not to become aware of the '675 patent.
- 21. Defendant has infringed and is infringing the '675 Patent, making Defendant liable for direct and/or indirect infringement under 35 U.S.C.§271, and 281-285.
- 22. FenF has suffered immediate and irreparable harm by SmartThingsZ's infringement conduct, and will continue to suffer said injury unless and until SmartThingsZ is enjoined from the infringing conduct.
- 23. SmartThingsZ's infringement conduct will continue unless immediately enjoined by the Court.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment and order that:

- a. The '675 patent is valid and enforceable;
- b. SmartThingsz, Inc. have directly infringed FenF's '675 patent;
- c. SmartThingsz, Inc. have engaged in willful and deliberate infringement of the '675 patent;
- d. SmartThingsz, Inc. and its officers, agents, servants, representatives, employees and all others in concert or participation with them, directly or indirectly, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringing, inducing others to infringe, or contributing to the infringement of the '675 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- e. SmartThingsz, Inc. be directed to fully compensate Plaintiff for all damages attributable to SmartThingsZ's infringement of the '675 patent in an amount according to proof at trial;
- f. Said damages be trebled;
- g. This case be deemed exceptional;
- h. Plaintiff be awarded reasonable attorneys' fees;
- i. Plaintiff be awarded reasonable expenses in this action, including the costs, fees,

and expenses in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

j. Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as the circumstances of this case may require, and as the Court may deem just and proper.

October 26, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

Don Darnell (P55268) 7926 Ann Arbor St. Dexter, Michigan 48130 734-242-5200 dondarnell@darnell-law.com

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff FenF, LLC hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

October 26, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

Don Darnell (P55268) 7926 Ann Arbor St. Dexter, Michigan 48130

734-242-5200

dondarnell@darnell-law.com